Case 3:23-cv-03417-VC Document 436-6 Filed 02/14/25 Page 1 of 16

## EXHIBIT 3

## **REDACTED**

```
Page 1
            UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
          NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
               SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
RICHARD KADREY, et al.,
          Individual and
          Representative
          Plaintiffs,
                                Case No. 3:23-cv-03417-VC
V.
META PLATFORMS, INC.,
          Defendant.
  ** CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER **
  Videotaped Deposition of meta Platforms, Inc.
       by and through its corporate designee
                    SY CHOUDHURY
             San Francisco, California
             Thursday, December 5, 2024
            Reported Stenographically by
       Michael P. Hensley, RDR, CSR No. 14114
               DIGITAL EVIDENCE GROUP
           1730 M. Street, NW, Suite 812
               Washington, D.C. 20036
                   (202) 232-0646
```

	Page 17
1	A. We've had, I'll say, various notable data
2	points within that. One of the one of the
3	earlier data points was when we worked for REI
4	research team for a dataset of I think it was
5	some some scientific text as well as visual
6	imagery from for a deal that we did
7	with to support one of the FAIR projects.
8	I think that was in 2022, late 2022.
9	In early 2023, this is another data
10	point where we looked at and explored licensing many
11	types of data for LLMs. This included everything
12	from fiction book data, scientific textbook data,
13	normal textbook data, images, videos. It was very
14	early days in us exploring what is available in the
15	market and also what our product or engineering team
16	really looked to utilize.
17	Q. Well, let's just keep going with those
18	data points, then. And would the next data point be
19	April 7th, 2023, when there was a decision to stop
20	licensing the licensing process for acquiring
21	text data for LLMs or, sorry, the pause?
22	ATTORNEY HARTNETT: Object to form.

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Sy Choudhury 30(b)(6) Highly Confidential - Subject to Protective Order

Page 18 1 THE WITNESS: Yeah. So in early April there was a pause on licensing certain categories of 2 data that included the text data -- some of the text 3 4 data categories. 5 BY ATTORNEY PRITT: And what text categories did that include? 6 Q. 7 Α. That included fiction books, nonfiction 8 books, and coding. 9 Any other categories? Q. 10 Α. No. Those are the three that we decided 11 to pause on. 12 And what are the data categories that Ο. 13 you -- that Meta decided not to pause on in early 14 April 2023? 15 While we did not have an explicit 16 discussion or decision to not pause, the data 17 categories that -- the other data categories that we were continuing to explore included images, videos, 18 19 and 3D objects. 20 Q. And why did Meta decide to pause its 21 licensing process for acquiring fiction books, 22 nonfiction books, and coding data for its LLMs in

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Sy Choudhury 30(b)(6) Highly Confidential - Subject to Protective Order

Page 19 1 early April 2023? 2 ATTORNEY HARTNETT: And I would just 3 object to the extent that you need to respond with attorney-client privilege, please exclude that from 4 your answer. If you can respond without reference 5 to that, you can answer. 6 7 THE WITNESS: There was a meeting I had 8 with my boss, Marc Shedroff, and our attorney, 9 Natascha Parks, and the content of the meeting is 10 under attorney-client privilege. 11 All I can say is that we considered and 12 discussed a variety of factors and agreed that the 13 decision would be to pause on those three 14 categories. 15 BY ATTORNEY PRITT: 16 Now, were there any business reasons for Q. deciding to pause the licensing process for 17 acquiring fiction books, nonfiction books, and 18 19 coding data for use in Meta's LLMs, at that time? 20 ATTORNEY HARTNETT: And I would just have 21 the same objection and instruction. You can answer 22 if there's a nonprivileged information you can

```
Page 20
 1
     provide.
 2
                             There's only privileged
               THE WITNESS:
     information in that meeting, and so I'm not able to
 3
     talk further about that.
 4
 5
     BY ATTORNEY PRITT:
               Okay. So to clarify, it's Meta's
 6
          Q.
 7
     testimony that there were no business reasons for
 8
     deciding to pause the licensing process for
 9
     acquiring fiction books, nonfiction books, and
10
     coding data for use in Meta's LLMs in early
     April 2023; is that correct?
11
12
               ATTORNEY HARTNETT: Objection to the form.
13
               Misstates his testimony.
14
               I -- if you can answer as to whether there
     are business reasons that don't entail conveying
15
16
     legal advice, you may answer. If not, I instruct
17
     you not to answer.
18
               THE WITNESS:
                             The -- although this is not
19
     comprehensive, the coding and textbook categories do
20
     not -- do not apply to the one, I'll say, business
21
     reason.
22
               I'd like to point out that the -- in the
```

Page 21

- 1 fiction category, we quickly learned from the
- 2 business development team that most of the
- 3 publishers we were talking to, they themselves were
- 4 representing that they did not have, actually, the
- 5 rights to license the data to us. And so it would
- 6 take a long time to engage with all their authors.
- 7 BY ATTORNEY PRITT:
- 8 Q. So was timing and the ability to obtain
- 9 licenses for the use of fiction books to use as data
- 10 for Meta's LLMs a business reason for why Meta
- 11 decided to pause it's licensing efforts for those
- 12 books in early April 2023?
- 13 A. It was one of the considerations, yes.
- Q. Were there any other business
- 15 considerations for deciding to pause licensing
- 16 efforts for fiction books to use as data for Meta's
- 17 LLMs in early April 2023?
- 18 ATTORNEY HARTNETT: And you could answer
- 19 to the extent it doesn't reveal attorney-client
- 20 privileged advice.
- 21 A. I would say yes in all three of the
- 22 categories that I've mentioned. Another business

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Sy Choudhury 30(b)(6) Highly Confidential - Subject to Protective Order

Page 22 reason is the very slow uptake in engagement and 1 2 interest from the different content partners that we 3 had reached out to or tried to reach out to, to 4 actually engage in a meaningful conversation. 5 So that was another reason, which has nothing to do with speed. It's just volume and 6 7 willingness to engage. 8 There were some that we, of course, had 9 good productive conversations with, but it was very 10 few. 11 Q. And which partners that you're referring 12 to were unwilling to engage? 13 Α. We -- we send out slew of emails to --14 slew of companies: and -- I mean there was a 15 whole list. 16 I don't recall the entire list, but I 17 remember we had made a long list from initially scouring the Internet of top publishers, et cetera, 18 and we didn't get contact and feedback from -- from 19 20 a lot of our cold call outreaches to try to 21 establish contact. 22 There were a few, like , that did,

Page 23

- 1 you know, engage, but not many.
- Q. Are you aware of any other licensing
- 3 efforts where Meta decided to stop pursuing licenses
- 4 because it didn't get contact and feedback from cold
- 5 call outreaches?
- A. You know, when we've done or we attempted
- 7 to do deals in the past for FAIR, where we've not
- 8 been able to achieve a deal in the same manner. And
- 9 so it's -- it does happen.
- 10 It's not just in this space, but it's work
- 11 stream by work stream, and it's usually related to a
- 12 category that -- of companies you're going after.
- 13 Q. Sorry. I don't understand the answer.
- 14 Are you aware of any other licensing
- 15 efforts where Meta decided to stop pursuing licenses
- 16 because it didn't get contact and feedback from cold
- 17 outreach?
- 18 A. I am aware of licensing efforts such, for
- 19 example, we tried to license 3D worlds from
- 20 different game engine and game manufacturers for our
- 21 AI research team. And in the same way that I'm
- 22 describing here for fiction and textbook data, we

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Sy Choudhury 30(b)(6) Highly Confidential - Subject to Protective Order

Page 24

- 1 got very little engagement to even have a
- 2 conversation.
- 3 Q. And did Meta decide to pause its licensing
- 4 efforts for 3D worlds?
- 5 A. Yes. We decided to pause the efforts
- 6 after that.
- 7 O. And did Meta use an alternative source of
- 8 data rather than licensing it?
- 9 A. We decided to -- in that case, we decided
- 10 to build our own solution.
- 11 Q. And when Meta decided to pause its
- 12 licensing efforts for nonfiction and fiction books
- 13 and code, did Meta decide to write its own books and
- 14 code to use as data for its LLMs?
- 15 A. I don't know the answer to that.
- 16 Engineering would know the answer to that.
- 17 Q. Are there any business reasons other than
- 18 the two you have listed for why Meta decided to
- 19 pause its licensing efforts for nonfiction and
- 20 fiction books and code in early April 2023?
- 21 A. At this time, I can't think of any.
- 22 Q. Okay.

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Sy Choudhury 30(b)(6) Highly Confidential - Subject to Protective Order

Page 26 of licensing that data for use in its LLMs? 1 2 Α. That's correct. 3 And when we're referring to the April 2023 Q. data point, did the engineering and product teams 4 5 ask the business development team to stop its licensing efforts for fiction and nonfiction books 6 7 and code for use in Meta's LLMs? That decision was -- had engineering 8 Α. No. 9 and products's input, but that was part of the 10 meeting that I'm telling -- that I mentioned that was attorney-client privileged. 11 12 And what was engineering and products's 0. 13 input? 14 Α. I was not aware of what -- they did not provide that input to me. They provided that input 15 16 directly to Marc Shedroff, my boss. Did he tell you what that input was? 17 Q. That is under attorney-client privilege as 18 Α. 19 we had that discussion there. Is Marc Shedroff a lawyer? 20 Q. 21 Α. No. 22 Okay. So I'm going to ask again. Q. What

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Sy Choudhury 30(b)(6) Highly Confidential - Subject to Protective Order

Page 27 did Marc Shedroff tell you was engineering and 1 2 product -- the engineering and product teams's 3 input? And I would just ask 4 ATTORNEY HARTNETT: 5 the witness, to the extent that Mr. Shedroff learned that in a meeting with the engineering team and 6 7 counsel, and the extent that there was 8 attorney-client privilege involved in how 9 Mr. Shedroff conveyed that to you, you can exclude 10 that from your answer. If you know information that Mr. Shedroff 11 12 had from the engineering team that is not covered by 13 attorney-client privilege, you can answer with that. 14 THE WITNESS: My understanding was that 15 Mr. Shedroff was in a meeting with -- with attorneys 16 and asking for their advice and also with -- with 17 attorney-client privilege. 18 BY ATTORNEY PRITT: So is your testimony that the input that 19 20 Mr. Shedroff received from the engineering and product teams related to the April 2023 decision to 21 2.2 pause licensing, there was -- that input was legal

	Page 28
1	advice?
2	ATTORNEY HARTNETT: Objection to the form.
3	I think it misstates his testimony.
4	To the extent he's in a he's conveying
5	information that he learned from his boss that was
6	part of a privileged conversation between his boss,
7	counsel, and the engineers.
8	Our position is that he has to figure out
9	whether or not he is conveying information that
10	Mr. Shedroff learned from the engineers separate
11	from the legal advice that was being given in that
12	meeting.
13	So I'm asking him to try and separate that
14	and convey anything that was not infused with legal
15	advice. And you can answer.
16	THE WITNESS: The meetings that Mark had
17	was with engineers was infused with legal advice.
18	And when we Mark and myself and Natasha were
19	discussing all the pros and cons, we and all the
20	facets before we made the decision that was also
21	with discussing both the, as we talked about, the
22	business and the legal aspects of a decision before

22

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Sy Choudhury 30(b)(6) Highly Confidential - Subject to Protective Order

Page 29 1 we decided to pause. 2 BY ATTORNEY PRITT: 3 Q. Okav. So I just want to get clear on the record. Your understanding is that the engineering 4 and product teams input into the decision whether or 5 not to pause licensing efforts in April 2023 that 6 7 we've discussed, all of that involved legal advice? Objection to the form. 8 ATTORNEY HARTNETT: 9 You should testify only based on what you 10 know, but you should testify on what you know. BY ATTORNEY PRITT: 11 12 0. Correct. I asked for your understanding. 13 Α. Sorry? 14 0. I asked for your understanding. 15 Α. My understanding is that when Marc 16 had the discussion with engineering and product, 17 that also included legal and legal advice, yes. 18 Q. Okay. But you're also testifying on 19 behalf of Meta at this time; so it Meta's position 20 that the input provided by the product and 21 engineering teams into the decision to pause

licensing efforts in April 2023 is completely

```
Page 30
 1
     covered by the attorney-client privilege?
 2
          Α.
               Yes.
 3
          Q.
               Okay.
 4
               ATTORNEY HARTNETT:
                                    I would just say
 5
     for -- this is with respect to his topic, by the
     way, which is topic number 8.
 6
 7
               ATTORNEY PRITT: Mm-hmm.
                                    So his topic is not --
 8
               ATTORNEY HARTNETT:
 9
     anyways, I think we stand by what he just said, but
10
     he's talking about it from his perspective as the
     person that was receiving information from his boss
11
12
     and making decision about the licensing efforts.
13
               ATTORNEY PRITT: As Meta's corporate
14
     representative for topic 8 as we have described it
15
     in today's deposition.
16
               ATTORNEY HARTNETT: Correct.
17
               ATTORNEY PRITT:
                                 Thanks.
18
               Okay.
19
     BY ATTORNEY PRITT:
20
          Q.
               In connection with the licensing process
21
     that you've described, did Meta discuss the types of
2.2
     data that it wanted to obtain for use with its LLMs?
```

	Page 82
1	CERTIFICATE OF SHORTHAND REPORTER
2	
3	I, Michael P. Hensley, Registered Diplomate
4	Reporter for the State of California, CSR No. 14114,
5	the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was
6	taken, do hereby certify that the foregoing
7	transcript is a true and correct record of the
8	testimony given; that said testimony was taken by me
9	stenographically and thereafter reduced to
10	typewriting under my direction; that reading and
11	signing was not requested; and that I am neither
12	counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the
13	parties to this case and have no interest, financial
14	or otherwise, in its outcome.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	Michael P. Hensley, CSR, RDR
20	
21	
22	